



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/758,381	01/10/2001	Richard L. Watson	-	9087
7	590 08/14/2002			
Richard L. Watson			EXAMINER	
1985 Couger Trail McPherson, KS 67460			HOEY, ALISSA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
		DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/758,381	WATSON, RICHARD L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alissa L. Hoey	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	anuary 2001 .				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
	4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/758,381

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Drawings submitted with the original application papers have not been found by the examiner. Please send in drawings for examination purposes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear in claim 1 what is meant by "positioned in a position".
- 4. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the tip of the hook" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/758,381 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shubert (US 2,811,969).

Shubert provides an amniotic sac-rupturing device having an elongate, flexible shank having a proximal end and a distal end (column 1, lines 15-55). A rearward facing sharp point mounted on the distal end of the shank, suitable for puncturing an amniotic sac (figure 3). The point is positioned against the face of a fingertip of a physician's hand (figures 1-3). A ring connected to the shank and the ring being positioned relative to the shank and adapted to secure the hand to a midsection of the physician's finger (46). It is inherent that the proximal end rests at least partially in the palm of the user.

In the alternative, it would have been obvious to form the proximal end of the device of Shubert to rest in the palm of a physician's hand, since nothing prevents the device from doing so based upon the length of the shank and the physician's hand.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shubert in view of Hovick (US 3,410,269).

Application/Control Number: 09/758,381

Art Unit: 3765

Shubert provides a device as described above in claim 1. However, Shubert fails to teach the point formed at the tip of a hook having a shielded cutting edge and the leading edge of the hook being flush with a surface of the distal end. The hook is positioned in a recess in the distal end. Hovick provides the point formed at the tip of a hook having a shielded cutting edge and the leading edge of the hook being flush with a surface of the distal end (figure 2). The hook being positioned in a recess in the distal end (figure 3).

It would have been obvious to have provided the device of Shubert with the hook of Hovick, since the hook having a shielded cutting edge provides a safer way to rupture an amniotic sac without damaging any other surrounding tissue or organs.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vreeland, Jr., Stocki et al., McKnight, Dimitriu, Zapata, Sheahon, Janko, Abel, Cotey, Satterwhite, Eastman and Little are all cited to show closely related devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alissa L. Hoey whose telephone number is (703) 308-6094. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30)Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703).305-1025. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0758 for regular communications and (703) 308-0758 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/758,381

Art Unit: 3765

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

alh August 12, 2002

> JOHN J. CALVERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700